St. Stephen, SC 29479 IMPROVEMENT RATING

Timberland High 1418 Gravel Hill Road

Grades 9-12 High School

Enrollment 975 Students

Principal Eugene B. Lemmon 843-567-8110

Superintendent Dr. J. Chester Floyd 843-899-8600

Board Chair Kathleen Bounds 843-761-5437

The State of South Carolina

Annual School Report Card

2005

7

ABSOLUTE RATING

GOOD

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 3 6 5 2

BELOW AVERAGE

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

YES

This school met 17 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

> www.myscschools.com www.sceoc.org

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2002	Average	Excellent	N/A
2003	Average	Excellent	No
2004	Good	Excellent	No
2005	Good	Below Average	Yes

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

Passed no subtests

GRADUATION RATE

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS **High Schools with Our School** Students Like Ours Percent 2004 2005 2006 2004 2005 2006 Passed 2 subtests 74.2 72.8 N/A 69.5 62.0 N/A Passed 1 subtest 12.1 15.0 N/A 15.5 18.5 N/A

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2005 Our School High Schools with Students Like Ours Percent 94.3% 93.0%

12.2

N/A

20.2

19.5

N/A

13.7

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP						
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours				
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	6.5	4.9				
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	6.5	5.3				
Seniors who met the grade point average	28.8	35.1				

*Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	231	106		
Number of Diplomas	166	149		
Rate	71.9%	70.6%		

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS Exit Exam Passage Eligibility for LIFE Graduation Rate Rate by Spring 2005 Scholarship Met State % % % n n n Objective All Students 176 94.3 215 6.5 231 71.9 YES Gender Male 74 93.2 93 110 59.1 N/A 8.6 Female 102 95.1 122 4.9 121 83.5 N/A Racial/Ethnic Group White 67 97.0 70 N/A 14.3 73 74.0 African American 108 92.6 144 2.8 157 70.7 N/A Asian/Pacific Islander 1 I/S 0 N/A 0 N/A N/A Hispanic 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A N/A American Indian/Alaskan 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A N/A Racial/Ethnic Group Non disabled 159 95.6 N/A N/A 188 83.5 N/A Disabilities other than speech 17 82.4 34 0.0 43 20.9 N/A Migrant Status Migrant 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A N/A

94.3

N/A

94.3

93.0

96.8

N/A

0

N/A

157

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

5.1

N/A

21

0

231

170

61

0.0

N/A

71.9

68.2

82.0

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

176

0

176

114

Non-migrant

English Proficiency

Limited English Proficient

Socio-Economic Status
Subsidized meals

Non-Limited English Proficient

Full-pay meals 62 n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

HCAR RESERVANCE BY CO.	OLID.								
HSAP PERFORMANCE BY GR	OUP /	-		-		-,-	% Proficient and Advanced	-	-
	Enrollment 1st	۵/ ۰	% Below Basis	કુ /	% Proficient	% Advanced	, je	Performance Objective	Participation Objection
	 	" Tested	' / '8'	% Basic	` <u>'</u>	, l ș	[] [j.]	e E :	afig (
	1 \$ 5	[🚱	/ %	\(\bar{\phi}{2}	400	\vartheta \var		
	्रे हैं।	·/ ~~	/ %	/	/ %	/ %	19%	\ & &	·/~~~~
					/	/	007		
All Students	nglish/Lan 213	guage An 100.0	s - State 13.6	Performa 42.7	nce Object	10.7	.3% 58.7	YES	YES
Gender	213	100.0	13.0	42.7	33.0	10.7	36.7	TES	TES
Male	96	100.0	16.8	41.1	32.6	9.5	55.8	N/A	N/A
Female	117	100.0	10.8	44.1	33.3	11.7	61.3	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group		100.0	10.0	11.1	00.0	11.7	01.0	14/71	14,71
White	76	100.0	2.8	38.9	38.9	19.4	72.2	YES	YES
African American	136	100.0	19.5	45.1	30.1	5.3	51.1	YES	YES
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	180	100.0	5.2	44.8	37.4	12.6	67.2	N/A	N/A
Disabled	33	100.0	59.4	31.3	9.4	N/A	12.5	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status					,	,			
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	213	100.0	13.6	42.7	33.0	10.7	58.7	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	213	100.0	13.6	42.7	33.0	10.7	58.7	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals	140	100.0	18.4	44.9	30.1	6.6	53.7	YES	YES
Full-pay meals	73	100.0	4.3	38.6	38.6	18.6	68.6	N/A	N/A
	•	•	•	•	•		00.0	I IN/A	I IN/A
	Mathemati			,			50.0	\/F0	\(\(\) \(\)
All Students	213	99.5	24.4	31.7	30.2	13.7	56.6	YES	YES
Gender Male	96	99.0	26.6	28.7	28.7	16.0	58.5	N/A	N/A
iviale Female	117	100.0	20.0	34.2	31.5	11.7	55.0	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	1117	100.0	22.3	34.2	31.3	11.7	55.0	IN/A	IN/A
White	76	100.0	5.6	30.6	31.9	31.9	81.9	YES	YES
African American	136	99.3	34.8	32.6	28.8	3.8	42.4	YES	YES
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status							-		
Not Disabled	180	100.0	15.5	33.9	35.1	15.5	64.4	N/A	N/A
Disabled	33	97.0	74.2	19.4	3.2	3.2	12.9	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	213	99.5	24.4	31.7	30.2	13.7	56.6	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	213	99.5	24.4	31.7	30.2	13.7	56.6	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	140	99.3	32.6	33.3	26.7	7.4	44.4	YES	YES
Full-pay meals	73	100.0	8.6	28.6	37.1	25.7	80.0	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE				
Charles (no 075)	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 975)	4.00/	D (40.50/	40.40/	0.40/
Retention rate Attendance rate	1.6% 94.9%	Down from 10.5% Down from 95.1%	10.4% 95.6%	8.1% 95.6%
Eligible for gifted and talented	0.0%	No change	3.6%	5.9%
With disabilities other than speech	18.5%	Up from 17.4%	15.3%	13.3%
Older than usual for grade	6.9%	Down from 11.6%	11.5%	10.1%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses		Up from 1.0%	2.1%	2.0%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	4.9%	Up from 3.0%	4.1%	9.7%
Successful on AP/IB exams	29.4%	N/A	39.4%	53.7%
Annual dropout rate	3.3%	Down from 4.0%	3.1%	3.0%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	12.6%	Down from 100.0%	6.2%	3.1%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	702	Down from 757	337	431
Students participating in worked-based experiences	53.4%	Up from 51.1%	9.9%	23.4%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	73.5%	Down from 74.6%	74.5%	78.6%
Career/technology completers placed	97.9%	Up from 95.6%	98.7%	99.4%
Teachers (n= 63)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	52.4%	Up from 50.8%	48.4%	54.5%
Continuing contract teachers	68.3%	Down from 79.4%	71.2%	78.6%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers with emergency or provisional	83.7% 10.7%	Down from 87.0% Up from 8.6%	87.5% 12.5%	89.1% 9.1%
certificates Teachers returning from previous year	82.4%	Up from 81.8%	84.2%	86.9%
Teacher attendance rate	97.0%	Up from 94.2%	95.5%	95.4%
Average teacher salary	\$39.287	Up 0.2%	\$40.525	\$42.426
Prof. development days/teacher	11.3 days	Up from 11.0 days	10.3 days	10.9 days
School				
Principal's years at school	6.0	Up from 5.0	2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	27.6 to 1	Down from 28.5 to 1	23.7 to 1	25.8 to 1
Prime instructional time	90.8%	Up from 88.1%	89.8%	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$7,447	Up 3.2%	\$6,615	\$6,422
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	51.3% Excellent	Down from 57.1% Up from Good	55.0% Good	57.7% Excellent
Parents attending conferences	94.7%	Up from 92.6%	89.7%	91.1%
SACS accreditation	Yes	No change	Yes	Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Good	No change Our District	Good	Good ate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty school	nle	94.8%		4%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty school		95.8%		.1%
ringing quantica toachers in riigh poverty scho	1010	State Objective		Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school		65.0%		es
Student attendance in this school		95.3%		lo
Stadent attornation in this control		00.070		

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Timberland High School has a School Improvement Council that serves as the planning team for the school. Since the establishment of the team, channels of communication have been opened to create an atmosphere of support for new ideas. The council is key to such communication and provided significant input for this report.

We used several initiatives last year to address the needs of our students. We continued to use Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) tests as pre and post-assessment to determine student academic achievement and student growth. We purchased the In-Touch software to keep our parents abreast of their children's academic progress. We continued to use Compass Learning, Plato software, and academic assistance during the extended part of second period and after school to address the needs of students failing one or more courses and/or students below standards on PACT or the HSAP Exam. We continued to offer SAT prep classes and workshops to help our students prepare for the SAT. We continued to use ACT software and workbooks to help our students prepare for the ACT.

With these initiatives in place, Timberland had many successes. We were designated a Palmetto Gold winner for gains in last year's report card data. We had two Palmetto Fellows and four Teacher Fellows. We had several students to win first place in DECA state competition. These students competed nationally in Anaheim, California. Our Engineering and Construction Design Team won first and second places in Quest, a tri-county competition. Our First Robotics Team was among the four top ten teams in national competition. As a result, the International Technology Education Association recognized our program as a 2005 Program of Excellence. Our Media Team won first place in the South Carolina Young Adult Book Banner contest.

We had many successes in athletics during the 2004-2005 school year. Our Girls' Varsity Basketball Team was State 3A Champions. We had a female basketball player named the "South Carolina Player of the Year", the Gatorade Player of the Year and a member of the National All-Star Team. Our Boys' Track Team was region champion and our Girls' Team was runner-up. Our football team went to the first round of the play-offs and one of our players was named to the Shrine Bowl Team. Our softball and baseball teams were runners-up in the region and went to the play-offs.

Timberland will continue to work diligently to become the best school in South Carolina. To enhance this process, we must continue to develop a plan to get our community and parents more involved in school activities, encourage more collaboration and cooperation among teachers, and get more teacher involvement in extracurricular activities. We welcome this challenge.

Eugene B. Lemmon, Principal Kimberly Glaze, Chair, School Improvement Council

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS				
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*	
Number of surveys returned	37	144	34	
Percent satisfied with learning environment	63.9%	56.3%	57.6%	
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	83.3%	62.6%	45.5%	
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	31.4%	67.6%	41.2%	

^{*}Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.